Message 1 of 3 in Discussion

Sent: 3/16/2006 8:13 PM

Sign In Web Search: MSN Home | My MSN | Hotmail STOP. STARE. True FLIRT! GO! MEAN Groups Groups Home | My Groups | Language | Help



The MSN Groups service will close in February 2009. You can move your group to Multiply, MSN's partner for online groups. Learn More

www. GrammaticalPhysics. ac

GrammaticalPhysics@groups.msn.com

Send Replies to My Inbox

The possibility that a quantum history is not analyzable is first pointed out by

You can see here what is a quantum history, what is "analyzable," and the theory

What's New **Join Now** 

**Products of GP: Unanalyzable Quantum History** Choose another message board

◆ Prev Discussion Next Discussion ▶

Recommend

Yuuichi Uda on 27 May in 2005.

From: SourceCodeOf\_HumanGenome (Original Message)

Reply

**Message Boards** 

<u>General</u>

**Definition of GP** 

Problems from GP

Products of GP

Gram.Exp.Observ.

Physical Logic

Chronology

Links

 Previous 2-3 of 3 Next ▶ Last 1

for unanalyzable quantum histories.

**Tools** 



At the conference of physical society of Japan, on March 27, 2006, I heard from a participant that the word 'unanalyzable' is inappropriate.

He told me "The word 'entangled' is usually used for the meaning I want to say, isn't it?"

I thought that he was right, and agreed with him.

So I stop this subject here.



The argument planned to be discussed here

will be discussed at the following board.

Products of GP > Entangled Quantum History

http://groups.msn.com/GrammaticalPhysics/productsofgp.msnw? action=get\_message&mview=0&ID\_Message=10&LastModified=4675567684350848243

Notice: Microsoft has no responsibility for the content featured in this group. Click here for more info.

Try MSN Internet Software for FREE!

MSN Home | Mv MSN | Hotmail | Search

©2005 Microsoft Corporation, All rights reserved. Legal Advertise MSN Privacy

Feedback | Help